

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,362	07/03/2003	Sang-Hyun Park	DE-1491	7243
7590 08/04/2004		EXAMINER		
David A. Einhom, Esq. Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.			MOTTOLA, STEVEN J	
1251 Avenue of the Americas NEW YORK, NY 10020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		į	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
Office Action Commons	10/613,362	PARK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Ünit	
	Steven J. Mottola	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	daress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 0	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	ion No ed in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	ГО-152)

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the integrated and strip line inductors of claims 3-4 and the second inductive buffer of claim 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,362

Art Unit: 2817

Claim 4 specifies that the inductor is connected to a module outside a semiconductor when parent claim 3 specifies it is directly formed on a semiconductor.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nollet.

Refer to fig. 2 of Nollet. An amplifier stage 4 is in cascade with a common emitter transistor amplifier 1 and may be read as the first and second amplifying units of the claims. They are separated by an emitter follower 6 which may be read as the claimed buffering unit and an inductor L2 which may be read as the claimed inductive buffer. It will inherently enhance the impedance at low frequencies and thus affect the bandwidth as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/613,362

Art Unit: 2817

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nollet in view of Conta et al.

The difference added by this claim over Nollet is that the inductor is directly formed on a chip. However, integrated inductors are known to be used with amplifiers as disclosed at col. 2, lines 46-52 of Conta et al. It would have been obvious to integrate the inductor of Nollet for more compact packaging of the device for instance.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nollet in view of applicant's admitted prior art fig. 1.

The difference added by this claim is the presence of a second inductive buffer connected to the first stage; however, applicant's prior art fig. 1 shows an inductor L100 connected to the first stage that is identified in the specification as extending the bandwidth. It would have been obvious to connect such an inductor between the supply VA and amplifier 4 of Nollet because such devices are well known as chokes that keep the signal separate from the supply.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Mottola Primary Examiner